St	ate:Monta	na	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	_X_ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent yes participation rate? X no
			tion for EACH change)
1.	Name of eligibility change	e: Work-Eligible Individu	uals Required to have FIA/EP
2.	Implementation date of eli	gibility change: October	1, 2006
3.	Description of policy, include	uding the change from pr	ior policy:
ind en wh no Ware de	Prior to the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA), the only individuals who were required to participate in allowable work activities were individuals receiving TANF or individuals who were sanctioned from the receipt of TANF. Failure of these individuals to negotiate an employability plan, outlining the allowable work activities, resulted in case closure and/or denial. Individuals who were non-participating for other reasons, e.g., disqualification due to an intentional program violation, were not considered to be "receiving TANF" and therefore were not required to participate in allowable work activities. With the changes in the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the DRS, all "work-eligible" individuals are required to participate in allowable work activities as defined in the DRA. Work-eligible individuals are defined as anyone receiving TANF benefits and/or a disqualified parent of a minor child receiving TANF benefits.		
ch ou	Based on these regulations, changes were made in the eligibility system to identify disqualified parents of a minor child receiving TANF benefits as a work-eligible individual and require them to negotiate an employability plan outlining the allowable work activities they would agree to participate in. Failure of these work-eligible individuals to negotiate an employability plan would result in case closure and/or denial.		

State: _	Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_			
	ription of the methodology used to calculate th supporting materials to this form):	the estimated impact of this eligibility change			
individua reason co Agreeme	Failure of a work-eligible individual to negotiate an employability plan outlining the allowable work activities the individual agreed to participate in resulted in case closure and/or denial. Montana's eligibility system requires a eason code for every case closure or denial. The reason code of 'FIA' (Family Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) is used when individual fail to negotiate an employability plan outlining the llowable work activities when they are identified as a work-eligible individual.				
TANF can the impact th	Data reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34.1 TANF Closed Cases report contains the number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month using the 'FIA' code. This report was used to calculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See attached copies of the report for October 2007—September 2008.)				
	er of FFY 2008. We have applied a decay fa	r this reason may have remained in the caseload for the ctor to the number of cases closed for this reason throughout			
5. Estin	nated average monthly impact of this eligibil	lity change on caseload in comparison year: -85			
Please re	fer to the attached impact table.				

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Blackfeet Tribal TANF	Plan changes	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January	1, 2007	
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: The Blackfeet Tribal TANF Plan changed to only allow households that are comprised of 100% enrolled Blackfeet Tribal members to receive Tribal TANF benefits. The remaining households, who may have previously received Blackfeet Tribal TANF, were referred back to the State TANF program.		
	This change would have resulted in an increase in the	e State TANF caseload, rather than a caseload reduction.	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	e estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: NA	

Sta	te:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Incarcerated individuals	are no longer eligible for TANF benefits.	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1	7, 2007	
par	Description of policy, including the change from prioricipate in allowable work activities were allowed to a arcerated to their participation hours.	r policy: Previously, individuals who were required to pply unsupervised work activities performed while	
acti req	Due to the restrictions in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA) as to what constitutes an allowable work activity and the requirement for daily supervision, individuals who are incarcerated and unable to complete the equired number of hours in allowable work activities are no longer eligible for TANF. These individuals would be closed using the 'FIA' (Family Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) closure code.		
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the ach supporting materials to this form)	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
wor cas who	rk activities would have been closed off TANF. Monte closure or denial. The reason code of 'FIA' (Family	the required number of participation hours in allowable ana's eligibility system requires a reason code for every Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) is used er of participation hours in allowable work activities due	
TA nur the	Data reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34.1 TANF Closed Cases report contains the number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month using the 'FIA' code. This report was used to calculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See attached copies of the report for October 2007—September 2008.)		
ren	he absence of this policy change, cases closing for thin ainder of FFY 2008. We have applied a decay factor Y 2007.	s reason may have remained in the caseload for the to the number of cases closed for this reason throughout	
	Because of the use of the same closure/denial code, 'Family impact of the "Work-eligible" changes outlined on page 1.	IA', the impact of this change cannot be separated from age 1. These changes will be "bundled" in this report.	
3.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year: See above.	

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Pro-rating of TANF bene negotiation of Family Investment Agreement/Employ		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 200)7	
3.	TANF; referred to Tribal NEW for case management	ion, provided the individuals were receiving child-only	
	the date they negotiated their FIA/EP and agreed to pa	I have their initial month of TANF benefits pro-rated to articipate in allowable work activities. This would have y case closure of denial. This policy change would not	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility c	hange on caseload in comparison year: NA	

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: _	_2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Application processing	ng timeframes changed to 30 days from 45 days		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1,	, 2007		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from Public Assistance were allowed 45 days to complete			
tin en:	Changes were made to decrease the TANF application processing timeframe to 30 days from the previous 45 day me period. This change was made to bring policy in alignment with current Food Stamp program policy and also nsure that individuals who are applying for TANF benefits are determined eligible sooner, allowing for more rompt involvement in allowable work activities.			
Th	is change would not have resulted in a caseload inc	crease or decrease.		
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	the estimated impact of this eligibility change:		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibil	ity change on caseload in comparison year: NA		

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: TANF Payment Standard	d increased	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 20	07	
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Previously the TANF Payment Standard was set at 33% of the 2005 Federal Poverty Level. Effective July 1, 2007, the TANF Payment Standard was increased to 33% of the 2007 Federal Poverty Level from 33% of the 2005 Federal Poverty Level. This change resulted in an increase in TANF benefits to participants.		
	This change would have resulted in higher TANF ber reductions.	nefits to the client, it would not result in caseload	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year: NA	

St	ate:Montana Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_		
1.	Name of eligibility change: Increased recovery percentage on continued benefits		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 2007		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Currently, when an individual is involved in a fair hearing regarding an adverse action, they can request and be found eligible for continued benefits. If the fair hearing is found in the state's favor, the individual is required to repay those continued benefits. If the individual continues to receive TANF cash assistance, the TANF benefits are reduced at a rate of 10% of the TANF grant amount, or \$10.00, whichever is higher.		
	Policy changed to allow continued benefits that were requested due to a sanction for non-compliance in allowable work activities to be repaid at a rate of 25% or \$25, whichever is higher. This change will not result in case closure, simply a reduction in the amount of TANF benefits available to the individual. This policy change did not impact the caseload reduction.		
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) NA		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: NA		

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: _	_2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Case Transfer Changes			
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: August 1,	2007		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior another county within the State, the household was all move to another county.	± •		
	With the stricter requirements as to what constitutes a Act (DRA), policy was changed to indicate that individuely were required to contact the 'receiving' county and not do so would result in case closure.	duals whose case was transferred to another of	county	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form)	estimated impact of this eligibility change:		
res dei	Failure of a TANF household to negotiate an employability plan with the 'receiving' county in a timely fashion results in case closure and/or denial. Montana's eligibility system requires a reason code for every case closure or denial. The reason code of 'FIA' (Family Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) is used when individual fail to negotiate an employability plan with the 'receiving' county in a timely fashion following case transfer.			
TA nui the	Data reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34.1 TANF Closed Cases report contains the number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month using the 'FIA' code. This report was used to calculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See attached copies of the report for October 2007—September 2008.)			
rer	the absence of this policy change, cases closing for this nainder of FFY 2008. We have applied a decay factor Y 2007.			
the	Because of the use of the same closure/denial code, 'Fle impact of the "Work-eligible" changes outlined on paranges will be "bundled" in this report.			
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	hange on caseload in comparison year: See a	bove	

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Conciliation Process		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1	, 2006	
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Previously when an individual who was required to participate in allowable work activities was out of compliance with those activities, the Wo Case Manager entered into a formal 90-day conciliation process with the individual. This process allow individual an opportunity to come back into compliance and avoid sanction. However, often the individual was not fully in compliance during this 90-day time period.		as out of compliance with those activities, the WoRC on process with the individual. This process allowed the ace and avoid sanction. However, often the individual	
	the DRA, this policy was changed to stop the formal informal conciliation process consisting of one phone	•	
	This change to the conciliation process may have resulting in a higher number of sanctions, which may	alted in sanctions being imposed sooner on an individual, result in case closure.	
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the tach supporting materials to this form)	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
rea	Imposition of a second or subsequent sanction results in case closure. Montana's eligibility system requires a reason code for every case closure or denial. The reason code of 'SAN' (Sanction) is used when an individual's TANF case is closing due to sanction.		
TA nui cal	Data reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34.1 TANF Closed Cases report contains the number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month using the 'SAN' code. This report was used to calculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See attached copies of the report for October 2007—September 2008.)		
ren	In the absence of this policy change, cases closing for this reason may have remained in the caseload for the remainder of FFY 2008. We have applied a decay factor to the number of cases closed for this reason throughou FFY 2008.		
Ple	ease refer to the attached impact table.		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-366</u>	

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Sanction Policy changes		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1	, 2008	
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Previously when a work-eligible individual was out of compliance with negotiated work activities, a sanction was imposed on the individual. Sanction periods a "penalty" month for the 1 st sanction which resulted in the individual's share of the TANF cash assistance grant being removed. 2 nd and subsequent sanctions resulted in a one-month ineligibility period or c closure.		sanction was imposed on the individual. Sanction lted in the individual's share of the TANF cash	
(D) res	With the stricter requirements as to what constitutes an allowable work activity under the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA), policy was changed to implement stricter sanction policies. Effective January 1, 2008, the 1 st sanction resulted in the same penalty month; the 2 nd sanction resulted in the same one-month ineligibility period; the 3 rd sanction resulted in a three-month ineligibility period and the 4 th and subsequent sanction resulted in a six-month ineligibility period.		
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the tach supporting materials to this form)	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
rea	Imposition of a second or subsequent sanction results in case closure. Montana's eligibility system requires a reason code for every case closure or denial. The reason code of 'SAN' (Sanction) is used when an individual's ΓΑΝF case is closing due to sanction.		
TA nui cal	Data reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34.1 TANF Closed Cases report contains the number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month using the 'SAN' code. This report was used to calculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See attached copies of the report for October 2007—September 2008.)		
ren	the absence of this policy change, cases closing for thin nainder of FFY 2008. We have applied a decay factor Y 2008.	s reason may have remained in the caseload for the to the number of cases closed for this reason throughout	
fro	**Because of the use of the same closure/denial code, 'SAN', the impact of this change cannot be separated from the impact of the "Conciliation Process" changes outlined on page 10. These changes will be "bundled" in this report.		
Ple	ease refer to the attached impact table.		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year: See above	

Sta	te:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_			
1.	Name of eligibility change: Work Support Payment e	ligibility			
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1,	2008			
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior	policy:			
ind issu Reg cha doc	Prior to the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA), individuals who were receiving TANF but were losing eligibility due to increased or new employment were issued a work support payment in the amount of \$375. With the changes in the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the DRS regarding the documentation and verification of participation hours, policy was changed to require the individual who was losing eligibility for TANF to provide all verification and documentation of participation in allowable work activities prior to being determined eligible for the work support payment.				
Thi	s change would not have resulted in a caseload increas	e or decrease.			
	4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): NA NA				
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility c	hange on caseload in comparison year: NA			

Sta	nte:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Adding newborn the month of birth		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1, 2008		
the dis	3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Previously, newborn children were added to the TANF household the month following the month of birth. In order to allow more individuals to be disregarded from the work participation rate due to being a single custodial parent with a child under the age of one, policy was changed to include the newborn child the month of birth, rather than the month following.		
Th	is change would not have resulted in a caseload increa	se or decrease.	
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the each supporting materials to this form) NA	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year: NA	

Sta	nte:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Participation Hours (requ	uirements)	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1,	2008	
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior	r policy:	
a si	Montana has always required "universal" participation of all work-eligible individuals regardless of their status as a single parent or a two-parent household. Montana has also always required a higher number of hours of participation than what is required in federal regulation, in an attempt to obtain the minimal number of hours required to meet the participation rate.		
of Sin	Effective January 1, 2008 the required participation hours were changed, in an attempt to accommodate the needs of the household based on the stricter definition of allowable work activities in the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA). Single parent households with a child under the age of six are required to complete 27 hours of participation per week in allowable work activities; single parent households without a child under the age of six and each parent is a two-parent household are required to complete 33 hours of participation per week in allowable work activities.		
Но	lure to complete the required number of allowable work wever, because the participation requirements were less ange would not have resulted in a higher number of case		
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the each supporting materials to this form) NA	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility cl	nange on caseload in comparison year: NA	

Stat	e:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_		
1. N	Name of eligibility change: Post-Employment Progr	am		
2. I	mplementation date of eligibility change: August 1,	2008		
gain	3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Changes were made to retain individuals who gained employment and who would otherwise have lost eligibility for TANF cash assistance, on the TANF caseload for a period not to extend three months.			
By increasing the earned income disregards of these individuals, the state is able to retain them on the TANF caseload for work participation reasons and also offer job retention services, including ongoing TANF cash assistance, child care and supportive services for the three month period. These changes were made in an effort to stabilize families who are attempting to become self-sufficient through employment.				
At th	e time the Post-Employment Program was impleme	nted, the work support payments were discontinued.		
This	change would have resulted in more cases remaining	g on TANF, not a caseload decrease.		
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) NA				
5. I	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: NA		

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Good cause for no	on-cooperation with CSED criteria expanded	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July	y 1, 2008	
ass	3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Individuals who are receiving TANF cash assistance are required to cooperate in the pursuit of child support enforcement and benefits. Montana has always provided good cause reasons for not pursuing child support.		
	In July of 2008 Montana expanded the good cause reasons for not pursuing child support to include the absent parent being listed on the Montana Sexual and Violent Offender Registry.		
Th	This change would not have resulted in a caseload decrease.		
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) NA		ate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligi	bility change on caseload in comparison year: NA	

Stat	e:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_	
1. 1	. Name of eligibility change: Supportive Services allowed for all work-eligible individuals		
2. I	mplementation date of eligibility change: August 1, 2	2008	
cont allov	3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior to the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA), the only individuals who were required to participate in allowable work activities were individuals receiving TANF or individuals who were sanctioned from the receipt of TANF. These individuals were provided supportive service funds to assist with participation requirements.		
are r defin bene	With the changes in the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the DRS, all "work-eligible" individuals are required to participate in allowable work activities as defined in the DRA. Work-eligible individuals are defined as anyone receiving TANF benefits and/or a disqualified parent of a minor child receiving TANF benefits. Based on these regulations, changes were made in the eligibility system to allow supportive service funds to all work-eligible individuals.		
This change would not have resulted in a caseload decrease.			
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estim (attach supporting materials to this form) NA		estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5. I	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility co	hange on caseload in comparison year: NA	

State:	Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2009_

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

See attached Part 2 worksheet and Impact Templates.

State:	:Montana Fiscal Ye	ar to which credit applies:2009_
	PART 3 Certification	1
	I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate oppo and methodology used to complete this report and considered Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since	those comments in completing it. the caseload resulting from State
	(signature)	
	(name)	
	(title)	